PROTOCOL Open Access



# Global incidence and case fatality rate of pulmonary embolism following major surgery: a protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies

Mazou N. Temgoua<sup>1\*</sup>, Joel Noutakdie Tochie<sup>2</sup>, Jean Jacques Noubiap<sup>3</sup>, Valirie Ndip Agbor<sup>4</sup>, Celestin Danwang<sup>2</sup>, Francky Teddy A. Endomba<sup>1</sup> and Niinkeng J. Nkemngu<sup>5</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background:** Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a life-threatening condition common after major surgery. Although the high incidence (0.3–30%) and mortality rate (16.9–31%) of PE in patients undergoing major surgical procedures is apparent from findings of contemporary observational studies, there is a lack of a summary and meta-analysis data on the epidemiology of postoperative PE in this same regard. Hence, we propose to conduct the first systematic review to summarise existing data on the global incidence, determinants and case fatality rate of PE following major surgery.

**Methods:** Electronic databases including MEDLINE, EMBASE, SCOPUS, WHO global health library (including LILACS), Web of Science and Google scholar from inception to April 30, 2017, will be searched for cohort studies reporting on the incidence, determinants and case fatality rate of PE occurring after major surgery. Data from grey literature will also be assessed. Two investigators will independently perform study selection and data extraction. Included studies will be evaluated for risk of bias. Appropriate meta-analytic methods will be used to pool incidence and case fatality rate estimates from studies with identical features, globally and by subgroups of major surgical procedures. Random-effects and risk ratio with 95% confidence interval will be used to summarise determinants and predictors of mortality of PE in patients undergoing major surgery.

**Discussion:** This systematic review and meta-analysis will provide the most up-to-date epidemiology of PE in patients undergoing major surgery to inform health authorities and identify further research topics based on the remaining knowledge gaps.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42017065126

Keywords: Pulmonary embolism, Major surgery, Incidence, Mortality, Determinants, Cohort studies

# **Background**

Globally, about 234 million major surgical interventions are performed each year [1] and postoperative complications inflict a significant morbidity, mortality and economic burden to surgical patients as well as healthcare systems [2, 3]. Pulmonary embolism (PE), a lifethreatening complication of venous thromboembolism

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine and sub-Specialties, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>(</sup>VTE), represents a major postoperative complication [4]. Indeed, surgery increases the risk of PE by fivefold [5]. The pathogenesis involves the interplay of an acute inflammatory reaction triggered by vascular endothelial lesions due to surgical dissections [6], hypercoagulability induced by surgical stress and anaesthetic drugs [7, 8], and venous stasis due to perioperative immobilisation and delayed rehabilitation, all described by Virchow more than a century ago [9]. PE is known to be relatively frequent during major surgery, where the following incidence rates have been reported: 0.7–30% after

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: neurotemgoua@yahoo.fr

orthopaedic surgery [10], 1.5–7.6% following thoracic surgery [11, 12], 0.33–6.6% after abdominal surgery [13, 14], 0.3–4.1% in gynaecologic surgery [15–17], 0.9–1.1% after urologic procedures [18, 19], and 0.6% after cardiac surgery [20]. Furthermore, despite the widespread adoption of thromboembolic prophylactic measures, PE accounts for a 30-day major surgery-specific case fatality rate ranging between 16.9 and 31% [13, 21–23] and a 37% [21] 1-year case fatality rate following major surgery.

In spite of recent advances in the diagnosis of PE, notably with the advent of multi-detector computed tomography pulmonary angiography and ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy [4], PE remains the most common cause of preventable death in surgical patients that is often easily overlooked [24-26]. Furthermore, these diagnostic imaging tests [4] have been described to be inaccessible for the majority of patients with suspected PE in resourcelimited settings [27]. While several patients with PE remain asymptomatic, others will experience a fatal PE as the first manifestation of thromboembolism [28]. Therefore, identifying perioperative risk factors of PE is an important step towards prevention of PE in patients undergoing major surgery, primarily via prompt thromboembolic prophylaxis [29, 30]. Hence, this protocol is designed for a systematic review and meta-analysis to critically synthesise the global incidence, determinants and case fatality rate of PE following major surgery. Findings will provide evidence on the current epidemiology of PE following major surgery and inform policymakers on major determinants of PE for which control interventions can be tailored to curb this burden.

### **Objectives**

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to ascertain the global incidence, determinants and case fatality rate of PE after major surgery.

# **Review questions**

To this end, the proposed systematic review will answer the following questions:

- 1. What is the global incidence of PE in patients undergoing major surgery?
- 2. What are the determinants of PE among patients undergoing major surgery?
- 3. What is the specific case fatality rate for PE after major surgery?

# Methods and design

This review is reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 Guidelines [31] and applicable to observational studies. An additional file shows this in more detail (see Additional file 1).

# Criteria for considering studies for the review Inclusion criteria

- We intend to include cohort studies reporting any of the following three data: incidence, determinants, and case fatality rate of PE following major surgical procedures round the world. Cohort studies that report other postoperative complications including PE will be included provided they present data enabling the calculation of any of the aforementioned three endpoints.
- 2. Study participants will be all patients who underwent a major surgical intervention. Major surgery will be defined as any intervention involving the incision, resection, manipulation or suturing of tissue and that requires general or regional anaesthesia or profound sedation for analgesia [32].
- 4. The diagnosis of PE will be based on computed tomographic pulmonary angiography and ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy as defined by the European Society of Cardiology [33] and the American Heart Association [34]. Studies reporting PE based on histopathology diagnoses made at autopsy shall also be considered. We intend to consider all published and unpublished data from inception to April 30, 2017.
- 5. Study settings: health care facilities in either rural or urban settings.
- 6. No language restriction.

# **Exclusion** criteria

- Studies where there are no appropriate diagnostic tool for PE.
- 2. For duplicate publications, only the publication with the most person-years will be retained.

# Search strategy for study identification

We intend to search MEDLINE, EMBASE, SCOPUS, WHO global health library (including LILACS), Web of Science and Google scholar from January 1, 1997, to April 30, 2017, for published studies on PE in adults undergoing major surgery. A comprehensive search strategy combining key search terms of different major surgical procedures cross-referenced with pulmonary embolism will be used in order to obtain the maximum possible number of studies. The search strategy for MEDLINE/PubMed is shown in Table 1. This will be adapted for the other databases. We will scan the reference lists of retrieved articles in order to identify any additional relevant studies. Our search will extend to include grey literature from book chapters, conference proceedings, theses, non-governmental organisations and government reports.BTW70647BTW70647

**Table 1** Search strategy for MEDLINE and adaptability to all databases

databases		
Search term	Search terms	
	("Non-cardiac surgery" [tw] OR "Non-cardiac surgical procedure" [tw] OR "Gynaecologic surgery" [tw] OR "Gynecologic surgery" [tw] OR "Gynecologic surgery" [tw] OR "Caesarean delivery" [tw] OR "Caesarean section" [tw] OR "Caesarean delivery" [tw] OR "Myomectomy [tw] OR "Ovarian cystectomy [tw] OR "Myomectomy [tw] OR "Caesarean delivery" [tw] OR "Salpingoctomy" [tw] OR "Vulvectomy" [tw] OR "Salpingoctomy" [tw] OR "Nyosceral surgery" [tw] OR "Abdominal Surgery" [tw] OR "Hysterotomy" [tw] OR "Abdominal Surgery" [tw] OR "Caparotomy" [tw] OR "Caparotomy" [tw] OR "Caparotomy" [tw] OR "Appendectomy" [tw] OR "Appendicetomy" [tw] OR "Appendicetomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Gastroctomy" [tw] OR "Gastroduodenostomy" [tw] OR "Gastroenterostomy" [tw] OR "Gastroduodenostomy" [tw] OR "Gastroenterostomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Splenoplexy" [tw] OR "Splenoctomy" [tw] OR "Splenoctomy" [tw] OR "Splenoctomy" [tw] OR "Pancreatectomy" [tw] OR "Protocolectomy" [tw] OR "Pancreatectomy" [tw] OR "Protocolectomy" [tw] OR "Omentopexy" [tw] OR "Protocolectomy" [tw] OR "Colectomy" [tw] OR "Nephrocomy" [tw] OR "Hepatectomy" [tw] OR "Hepatectomy" [tw] OR "Hepatectomy" [tw] OR "Hepatectomy" [tw] OR "Nephrocomy" [tw] OR	
	"Rhizotomy" [tw] OR "Brain biopsy" [tw] OR "Ganglionectomy" [tw] OR "Symapathectomy" [tw] OR "Neurectomy" [tw] OR "Axotomy" [tw] OR "Vagotomy" [tw] OR "Nerve biopsy" [tw] OR "Endocrine surgery" [tw] OR "Thyroidectomy" [tw] OR "Thyroid lobectomy" [tw]	

**Table 1** Search strategy for MEDLINE and adaptability to all databases (*Continued*)

Search term	Search terms	Hits
	OR "Parathyroidectomy" [tw] OR "Adrenalectomy" [tw] OR "Pinealectomy" [tw] OR "Ophthalmic surgery" [tw] OR "Punctoplasty" [tw] OR "Trabeculopathy" [tw] OR "Photorefractive keratectomy" [tw] OR "Trabeculectomy" [tw] OR "Iridectomy" [tw] OR "Nirectomy" [tw] OR "Dacryocystorhinostomy" [tw] OR "Radial keratotomy" [tw] OR "Corneal transplantation" [tw] OR "ENT surgery" [tw] OR "Ear nose throat surgery" [tw] OR "Otoplasty" [tw] OR "Stapedectomy" [tw] OR "Mastoidectomy" [tw] OR "Stapedectomy" [tw] OR "Mastoidectomy" [tw] OR "Auriculectomy" [tw] OR "Myringotomy" [tw] OR "Rhinoplasty" [tw] OR "Septoplasty" [tw] OR "Rhinectomy" [tw] OR "Septoplasty" [tw] OR "Tracheostomy" [tw] OR "Sinusotomy" [tw] OR "Cricothyroidotomy" [tw] OR "Cricothyrotomy Tonsillectomy" [tw] OR "Adenoidectomy" [tw] OR "Palatoplasty" [tw] OR "Uvuloplasty" [tw] OR "Gingivectomy" [tw] OR "Glossectomy" [tw] OR "Gricardioctomy" [tw] OR "Pericardiectomy" [tw] OR "Pericardiotomy" [tw] OR "Pericardiotomy" [tw] OR "Coronary artery bypass surgery" [tw] OR "Heart transplantation" [tw] OR "Vascular surgery" [tw] OR "Angioplasty" [tw] OR "Endarectomy" [tw] OR "Lympahatic surgery" [tw] OR "Thymus transplantation" [tw] OR "Spleen transplantation" [tw])	
2	Pulmonary embolism [tw] OR Pulmonary emboli [tw] OR Thromboembolism [tw] OR Thromboembolic events [tw] OR Thromboembolic complications [tw] OR Venous thromboembolism [tw]	
3	#1 AND #2 Limits: 01/01/1997 to 31/04/2017	

# Study records

Hits

# Data management

All studies identified by the search strategy will be entered into EndNote to assess and exclude duplication of records. This will be subsequently uploaded into Eppi-Reviewer, an Internet-based software program which eases collaboration among reviewer authors during the study selection process. Prior to the screening of studies, the team will develop and screen questions and forms for two levels of assessment based on the eligibility criteria. Abstracts and full-text articles will be uploaded with screening questions to Eppi-Reviewer.

# Study screening and selection

Two review authors (JNT and MNT) will independently screen the titles and abstracts of studies yielded by the search against the eligibility criteria. We shall retrieve full reports for all study titles that appear to meet the eligibility criteria or where there is any contingency. The investigators will then screen the full-text reports and decide whether these meet the inclusion criteria of the systematic review. We intend to seek additional data from corresponding authors in the event that the publication is unclear and hence may be subject to multiple interpretations.

Discrepancies will be solved by a third author (NJN). We will record the reasons for excluding studies.

## Data extraction

With the aid of a standardised and pre-tested data extraction form, two investigators (VNA and CD) will extract data independently from included studies. Any disagreement shall be resolved by discussion, and a third investigator (FTAE) will adjudicate unresolved disagreements. A flowchart will be used to demonstrate the entire study selection and data extraction processes.

### Data items

We will seek information concerning the following study variables: the year the study was conducted and published, the language of publication, demographic data of participants (mean age, gender proportions), setting (urban or rural hospital), sample size, number and proportion with PE, type of major surgical procedure, risk factors for PE, PE-related mortality rate, predictors for PE-related mortality and measures of association (relative risks, odds ratios, *p* values and confidence intervals) will be recorded. If measures of association cannot be calculated or are not reported in the primary study, we shall contact the concerned authors via email for additional information.

# Assessment of risk of bias

To assess the risk of bias within included studies, two review authors (JJN and MNT) will independently assess the risk of bias within included studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [35]. An additional file shows this scale in detail (see Additional file 2). A judgement as to the possible risk of bias in each study will be rated as 'high risk,' 'moderate risk' or 'low risk'. In the event of insufficient details reported in a study, we will score the risk of bias as 'uncertain' and the investigators of the said primary study will be contacted for more information. We intend to present the risk of bias in a table. Also, we will compute graphic representations of potential bias within and across studies using RevMan V.5.3. Disagreements will be resolved first by discussion and then by consulting a third author (NJN) for arbitration.

# Data synthesis, analysis and assessment of heterogeneity

Data on the incidence, case fatality rate and determinants of PE following major surgery will be summarised in demographic characteristic (patient age, sex), clinical characteristics (type of major surgery, pregnancy, obesity, prior venous thromboembolism, active malignancy, infection, intensive care unit admission, trauma or fracture, hormonal therapy, immobilisation), study setting and the time the study was conducted and published. Heterogeneity will be assessed using the chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test on

Cochran's Q statistic and quantified by calculating the Isquared  $(I_2)$  statistic [36].  $I_2$  values of 25, 50 and 75% will represent low, medium and high heterogeneity, respectively. In the event of no substantial heterogeneity across studies, a meta-analysis will be performed for the global incidence and case fatality rates of PE following major surgery across studies with similar characteristics. To this end, a random effects meta-analysis model will be used to obtain an overall summary estimate of the incidence and mortality rate across included studies. Furthermore, an inverse variance weighting method will be used for a metaanalysis of risk ratios. Where substantial heterogeneity will be detected despite lumping similar studies, subgroup analyses will be conducted to explore the possible sources of heterogeneity using the following grouping parameters: gender, age, study setting, the time the study was conducted and published, diagnostic methods and type of major surgery. In the event that the data cannot be pooled due to substantial heterogeneity, only a narrative summary of the findings will be done.

# Assessment of reporting bias

We will assess publication bias and selective reporting by using funnel plots and Egger's test. Data will be analysed using Stata software version 13 (Stata Corp V.13, TX, USA).

# **Protocol amendments**

In order to limit outcome reporting bias, we do not intend to make any amendment to this protocol. However, in the event of any amendment, we will report the date of the amendment, a description of the modification done and the rationale. Only protocol amendments approved by all the authors will be documented by the guarantor.

# Discussion

Pulmonary embolism is a life-threatening complication of deep venous thrombosis. The risk of incidence is increased by major surgery. A summary and meta-analysis of contemporary cohort studies reporting its incidence, determinants and case fatality rate in patients undergoing major surgery will be invaluable in assessing its true impact in the perioperative period, and informing health authorities on major determinants of PE for which control interventions can be geared to curb this burden.

# **Additional files**

**Additional file 1:** Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 Guidelines (DOCX 17 kb)

Additional file 2: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (DOCX 14 kb)

### Abbreviations

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DVT}}$  . Deep vein thrombosis; PE: Pulmonary embolism; VTE: Venous thromboembolism

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### **Funding**

This review received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

JNT, JJN, MNT and NJN conceived the study. JNT drafted the manuscript. JJN, MNT, VNA, CD, TFAE and NJN critically revised the protocol for methodological and intellectual content. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine and sub-Specialties, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon. <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery and sub-Specialties, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaounde I, Yaounde, Cameroon. <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Groote Schuur Hospital and University of Cape Town, 7925 Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa. <sup>4</sup>Ibal sub-Divisional Hospital, Oku, North-west Region, Cameroon. <sup>5</sup>Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

# Received: 15 June 2017 Accepted: 28 November 2017 Published online: 04 December 2017

### References

- Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, Haynes AB, Lipsitz SR, Berry WR, et al. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. Lancet. 2008;372:139–44.
- Shander A, Fleisher LA, Barie PS, Bigatello LM, Sladen RN, Watson CB. Clinical and economic burden of postoperative pulmonary complications: patient safety summit on definition, risk-reducing interventions, and preventive strategies. Crit Care Med. 2011;39:2163–72.
- The Australian and New Zealand Working Party on the management and prevention of venous thromboembolism. The burden of venous thromboembolism in Australia. Australia: Access Economics;2008.
- 4. Idiz M, Konuralp C, Ates M. Under diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: a recurrent nightmare for surgeons. Eastern J Med. 2003;8:1–6.
- Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Samama CM, Lassen MR, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th edition). Chest. 2008;133:3815–453S.
- Desciak MC, Martin DE. Perioperative pulmonary embolism: diagnosis and anesthetic management. J Clin Anesth. 2011;23:153–65.
- Collins GJ Jr, Barber JA, Zajtchuk R, Vanek D, Malogne LA. The effects of operative stress on the coagulation profile. Am J Surg. 1977;133:612–6.
- Rosenfeld BA, Faraday N, Campbell D, Dise K, Bell W, Goldschmidt P. Hemostatic effects of stress hormone infusion. Anesthesiology. 1994;81: 1116–26
- Virchow RLK. Translation in Matzdorff AC, Bell WR, trans, eds. Thrombosis and embolie (1846–1856). Canton, MA: Science History Publications; 1998. Originally published in German as "Thrombose und Embolie. Gefassentzundung und septische Infektion," Gesammelte Abhandlungen zur wissenschaftlichen Medicin. 1856;219–752.

- Arcelus JI, Kudrna JC, Caprini JA. Venous thromboembolism following major orthopedic surgery: what is the risk after discharge? Orthopedics. 2006;29:506–16.
- Nagahiro I, Andou A, Aoe M, Sano Y, Date H, Shimizu N. Intermittent pneumatic compression is effective in preventing symptomatic pulmonary embolism after thoracic surgery. Surg Today. 2004;34:6–10.
- Sugarbaker DJ, Jaklitsch MT, Beuno R. Prevention, early detection, and management of complications after 328 consecutive extrapleural pneumonectomies. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2004;128:138–46.
- Sakon M, Kakkar AK, Ikeda M, Sekimoto M, Nakamori S, Yano M, et al. Current status of pulmonary embolism in general surgery in Japan. Surg Today. 2004;34:805–10.
- 14. Rasmussen MS, Jorgensen LN. Postoperative fatal pulmonary embolism in a general surgical department. Am J Surg. 1995;169:214–6.
- Jacobsena AF, Drolsumb A, Klow NE, Dahla GF, Qvigstad E, Sandset PM. Deep vein thrombosis after elective cesarean section. Thromb Res. 2004;6:283–8.
- Martino MA, Borges E, Williamson E, Siegfried S, Cantor AB, Lancaster J, et al. Pulmonary embolism after major abdominal surgery in gynecologic oncology. Obstet Gynecol. 2006;107:666–71.
- Swenson CW, Berger MB, Kamdar NS, Campbell DA Jr, Morgan DM. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism after hysterectomy. Obstet Gynecol. 2015;125:1139–44.
- Dillioglugil O, Liebman BD, Liebman NS, Kattan MW, Rosas AL, Scardino PT. Risk factors for complications and mortality after radical retropubic prostatectomy. J Urol. 1997;157:1760–7.
- Pettus JA, Eggener SE, Shabsigh A, Yanke B, Snyder ME, Serio A, et al. Perioperative clinical thromboembolic events after radical or partial nephrectomy. Urology. 2006;68:988–92.
- Ho KM, Bham E, Pavey W. Incidence of venous thromboembolism and benefits and risks of thromboprophylaxis after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015:e002652.
- Comfere TB, Sprung J, Case KA, Dye PT, Johnson JL, Hall BA, et al. Predictors
  of mortality following symptomatic pulmonary embolism in patients
  undergoing noncardiac surgery. Can J Anaesth. 2007;54:634–41.
- Gangireddy C, Rectenwald JR, Upchurch GR, Wakefield TW, Khuri S, Henderson WG, et al. Risk factors and clinical impact of postoperative symptomatic venous thromboembolism. J Vasc Surg. 2007;45:335–42.
- Mantilla CB, Horlocker TT, Schroeder DR, Berry DJ, Brown DL. Frequency of myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, deep venous thrombosis, and death following primary hip or knee arthroplasty. Anesthesiology. 2002;96:1140–6.
- Kerkez MD, Ćulafić ĐM, Mijač DD, Ranković VI, Lekić NS, Stefanović DŽ. A study of pulmonary embolism after abdominal surgery in patients undergoing prophylaxis. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15(3):344–8.
- Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schuünemann HJ, American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012;7S–47S.
- Lau BD, Haut ER. Practices to prevent venous thromboembolism: a brief review. BMJ Qual Saf. 2014;23:187–95.
- Tambe J, Moifo B, Fongang E, Guegang E, Juimo AG. Acute pulmonary embolism in the era of multi-detector CT: a reality in sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Med Imaging. 2012;12:31.
- Lindblad B, Eriksson A, Bergqvist D. Autopsy-verified pulmonary embolism in a surgical department: analysis of the period from 1951 to 1988. Br J Surg. 1991;78:1849–52.
- 29. Mcnally MP, Burns CJ. Venous thromboembolic disease in colorectal patients. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2009;22:34–40.
- Moghadamyeghaneh Z, Hanna MH, Carmichael JC, Nguyen NT, Stamos MJA. Nationwide analysis of postoperative deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in colon and rectal surgery. J Gastrointest Surg. 2014; 18:2169–77.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1.
- WHO/World Alliance for Patient Safety. Background paper for the first international consultation meeting of the second global patient safety challenge: safe surgery saves lives. Geneva: World Health Organization Headquarters, 2007. http://www.who.int/patientsafety/challenge/safe. surgery/FIC\_Safety\_Surgical\_care.pdf. (accessed April 30, 2017). In.
- 33. Konstantinides SV, Torbicki A, Agnelli G, Danchin N, Fitzmaurice D, Galiè N, et al. 2014 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary

- embolism: the task force for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) endorsed by the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J. 2014;35:3033–73.
- 34. Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, Cushman M, Goldenberg N, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Management of Massive and Submassive Pulmonary Embolism, lliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic Thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2011;123:1788–830.
- 35. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P: The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses [http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp].
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002;21:1539–58.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

