PROTOCOL Open Access

Exploring the intersections of college student poverty, grief, and racial/ethnic identity: a scoping review protocol

Sarah Elizabeth Garza-Levitt^{1*}, Mary M. McFarland², Kimberly Ponce Gonzalez³ and Katherine P. Supiano³

Abstract

Background College students who experience the negative impact of poverty, such as food, financial, and housing insecurity, are at higher risk for poor academic performance. One recent study examined grief in a college student sample and found students with a diverse racial or ethnic background were more likely to experience prolonged grief disorder, however, did not examine poverty in their sample. To date, no known reviews have examined poverty by racial and ethnic identity and the experience of grief due to the death of a family member or friend, and no reviews have examined how these three factors relate to interventions designed to support student academic performance and degree completion.

Methods Our aim is to map the primary literature reporting on college students of any age who identify or are identified as non-white racial or ethnic groups who experience poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend. The mapping strategy includes extracting the various types of interventional support of academic performance and degree completion delivered from campus or community services in any geographic setting worldwide. We will conduct our scoping review with guidance from the latest version of the *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. Utilizing the framework as outlined by Arksey and O'Malley, we will conduct our scoping review with Arksey's five stages: (1) identifying the research question, (2) identifying relevant studies, (3) study selection, (4) charting the data, and (5) collating, summarizing, and reporting the results. For transparency and reproducibility, we will adhere to the PRISMA reporting guidelines.

Discussion The purpose of this scoping review is to map the primary literature reporting college students, regardless of their age, who belong to non-white racial or ethnic groups and face poverty and grief resulting from the loss of a family member or friend. This analysis includes mapping the various types of intervention and support available both on and off campus, in any global setting, with the aim of enhancing academic performance and facilitating degree completion. The results of this review may inform the further research needed in this area to help prevent poor academic performance and dropout for many vulnerable college students. The results may be of value, particularly to college administrators developing prevention and interventional programs to support college student success.

Systematic review registration Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/enuwt).

Keywords College students, Bereavement, Death, Grief, Grieving, Ethnicity, Race, Poverty, Students of color, Socioeconomic status

*Correspondence: Sarah Elizabeth Garza-Levitt sarahelizabeth.levitt@hsc.utah.edu Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons locence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

College students who experience the negative impact of poverty, such as food, financial, and housing insecurity, are at higher risk for poor academic performance [1-9]. Additionally, students with an underrepresented racial or ethnic minority identity have a higher likelihood of experiencing poverty [10, 11]. These college students have also been disproportionally impacted by COVID-19, with pandemic-related deaths exceeding 6.97 million worldwide [12, 13]. Consequently, students with an underrepresented racial or ethnic minority identity are more likely to experience poverty and grief simultaneously. One recent study examining college student grief found that students with an underrepresented racial or ethnic minority identity were more likely to experience prolonged grief disorder (PGD). They found that "the rate of PGD among Black students (16.7%) was twice that of white students (8.8%). The rates of PGD among Asians (15.8%) and Hispanics (10.5%) were also higher than that of whites" [14]. However, poverty was not examined in their sample. To date, no known reviews have examined poverty among college students with an underrepresented racial and/or ethnic identity who experience grief due to the death of a family member or friend, and no reviews have examined how these three factors relate to interventions designed to support student academic performance and degree completion.

Methods/design

Our aim is to map the primary literature reporting on college students of any age who identify or are identified as non-white racial or ethnic groups who experience poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend. The mapping strategy includes extracting the various types of interventional support of academic performance and degree completion delivered from campus or community services in any geographic setting worldwide.

Preliminary searches of MEDLINE (Ovid) 1946-2022, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (Wiley), and JBI Evidence Synthesis were conducted (SEGL) on September 26, 2022. On November 07, 2022, searches for existing reviews or protocols were conducted (MMM) in the following databases and journals: PubMed (pubmed.gov), Epistemonikos (epistemonikos.org), Cochrane PROSPERO (www.crd.york.ac.uk/ Library (Wiley), PROSPERO), Open Science Framework (osf.io), JBI Evidence Synthesis, International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare, and Campbell Systematic Reviews. No current or underway systematic or scoping reviews on the topic were identified. The scoping review protocol has been registered within the Open Science Framework (pre-registration number: [https://osf.io/enuwt]).

We will conduct our scoping review with guidance from the latest version of the *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis* [15]. Utilizing the framework as outlined by Arksey and O'Malley, we will conduct our scoping review with Arksey's five stages: (1) identifying the research question, (2) identifying relevant studies, (3) study selection, (4) charting the data, and (5) collating, summarizing, and reporting the results [16]. For transparency and reproducibility, we will adhere to the PRISMA-S and PRISMA-ScR reporting guidelines, and mapping will be done using PRISMA-P [17–20] (see Additional file 1).

We will use the PCC model from the JBI Manual to organize our research question for our scoping review [21].

- Population: College students of any age with racial or ethnic identities other than white.
- Concept: Experiences of poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend, as well as interventional support for academic performance and degree completion.
- Context: Any geographic setting worldwide. Interventional support can be delivered from campus or community services, on- or off-campus locations.

Research guestion

What has been reported in the primary literature on the intersections of poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend in college students of any age who identify or are identified as non-white racial or ethnic groups, and what interventions are delivered to support academic performance and degree completion?

Information sources and search strategy

An information specialist (MMM) will develop the search strategies using a combination of keywords and database subject headings for the primary databases from sentinel studies and team feedback and then translate the strategy to the other selected databases. Library colleagues will peer review the strategy according to PRESS guidelines [22]. Databases will include the following: MEDLINE (Ovid) 1946–2023, CINAHL Complete (Ebscohost) 1937–2023, APA PsycINFO (EBSCOhost) 1872-2023, Academic Search Ultimate (EBSCOhost) 1965-2023, ERIC (EBSCOhost) 1966-2023, Education Database (ProQuest) (1988-2023), Dissertations & Theses Global (ProQuest) 1861–2023, Sociological Abstracts (ProQuest) 1952-2023, and Scopus (Elsevier) 1970–2023. The search strategy was developed for our primary database MEDLINE and will be translated to the other selected databases (see Additional file 2). Citation management and duplicate detection and removal will be accomplished with EndNote (Clarivate Analytics). We will check references of our included studies for additional reports meeting our inclusion criteria. Grey literature is as follows: Including research and committee reports, government reports, university reports, and conference papers for the last 5 years from the Government Accountability Office, www.gao.gov, and The Hope Center for College, Community and Justice, Temple University, hope.temple.edu.

Eligibility criteria

We will use Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation), an online systematic reviewing platform, to screen and select studies.

Inclusion criteria

- Population: College students of any age with racial or ethnic identities other than white.
- Concept: Experiences of poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend, as well as interventional support for academic performance and degree completion.
- Context: Any geographic setting worldwide. Interventional support can be delivered from campus or community services, on- or off-campus locations.
- Types of studies: All experimental or observational studies with qualitative or quantitative data.

Exclusion criteria

Types of studies: Nonacademic media sources (e.g., blogs, news articles, etc.).

Screening and selection procedure

Two reviewers (SEGL, KPG) will independently screen titles and abstracts and then independently review full text for inclusion. When no consensus can be reached between the two reviewers, a third reviewer (KPS) will be the deciding vote.

Data extraction

Two reviewers (SEGL, KPG) will pilot our data charting form using sentinel articles prior to the finalization of the protocol. Two reviewers (SEGL, KPG) will then independently extract and chart the data from included studies using Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation). Data extracted from the included studies align with the population (college students of any age with racial or ethnic identities other than white); concept (experiences of poverty and grief due to the death of a family member or friend, as well as interventional support for academic performance and degree completion); and context (any

geographic setting worldwide. Interventional support can be delivered from campus or community services, on- or off-campus locations) (see Additional file 3). The data charting form will be revised as required during the data extraction process. Revisions will be reported in the scoping review manuscript. Any conflicts between the two reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or a third reviewer (KPS) will be the deciding vote.

Quality assessment

In compliance with scoping review methodology, no quality assessment of included studies will be conducted, as our goal is to rapidly map the literature.

Analysis of evidence

Results from this scoping review will be presented as a descriptive summary (narrative). Additionally, results for the population, concept, and context will be presented in a table, figure, and/or graphical form.

Dissemination of results

The results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication, conference presentations, and/or a one-day stakeholder meeting. Any changes from the scoping protocol methodology will be acknowledged and defined in the manuscript.

Discussion

The purpose of this review is to map the primary literature reporting college students, regardless of their age, who belong to non-white racial or ethnic groups and face poverty and grief resulting from the loss of a family member or friend. This analysis includes mapping the various types of intervention and support available both on and off campus, in any global setting, with the aim of enhancing academic performance and facilitating degree completion. The results of this review may inform the further research needed in this area to help prevent poor academic performance and dropout for many vulnerable college students. The results may be of value, particularly to college administrators developing prevention and interventional programs to support college student success. While we understand that this review will only include publications in English, the research question is broad enough to encompass a substantial amount of existing literature. It is important to note that the purpose of a scoping review is not to assess the quality of evidence in a formal manner. Therefore, this review will focus on providing descriptive accounts of the included publications and highlighting any differences in their quality. To minimize the potential for selection bias, we will have multiple members of the research team independently review the data. This review will contribute to the literature base on college student poverty and create

greater awareness of the needs of racially and ethnically diverse students experiencing poverty and grief due to death.

Abbreviations

JBI Joanna Briggs Institute

PRISMA-S Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-

Analyses literature search extension

PRISMA-ScR Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-

Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews Checklist

PRISMA-P Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-

analysis Protocol

PCC Population, concept, and context

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-023-02407-x.

Additional file 1. PRISMA- P 2015 Checklist.

Additional file 2. Ovid MEDLINE(R) Search Strategy.

Additional file 3. Draft Data Chart.

Acknowledgements

We express our thanks to the reviewers and editors for their assistance.

Authors' contributions

SEGL led the conceptualization, development, and writing of the manuscript. KPS contributed to the development of the project and manuscript preparation. KPG contributed to the manuscript preparation. MMM conducted the search and contributed to the manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding has been obtained for this review.

Availability of data and materials

All review data generated or analyzed will be included in the published scoping review article and can be made available upon request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors give approval for the publishing of this protocol manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹The University of Utah College of Social Work, 395 South 1500 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. ²The University of Utah Eccles Health Sciences Library, 10 North 1900 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA. ³The University of Utah College of Nursing, 10 South 2000 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA.

Received: 16 March 2023 Accepted: 1 December 2023 Published online: 14 December 2023

References

- Baker-Smith C, Coca V, Goldrick-Rab S, et al. #RealCollege 2020: five years
 of evidence on campus basic needs insecurity. The Hope Center. 2020.
 https://tacc.org/sites/default/files/documents/2020-2/2019_realcollege_
 survey_report.pdf. Accessed 7 Mar 2022.
- Broton KM. A review of estimates of housing insecurity and homelessness among students in U.S. higher education. J Soc Distress Homeless. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1080/10530789.2020.1677009.
- Bruening M, van Woerden I, Todd M, Laska MN. Hungry to learn: the prevalence and effects of food insecurity on health behaviors and outcomes over time among a diverse sample of university freshmen. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0647-7.
- Farahbakhsh J, Hanbazaza M, Ball GDC, Farmer AP, Maximova K, Willows ND. Food insecure student clients of a university-based food bank have compromised health, dietary intake and academic quality. Nutr Diet. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1111/1747-0080.12307.
- Maroto M. Food insecurity among community college students: prevalence and relationship to GPA. J Nutr Educ Behav. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2013.850758.
- Martinez SM, Esaryk EE, Moffat L, Ritchie L. Redefining basic needs for higher education: it's more than minimal food and housing according to California university students. Am J Health Promot. 2021. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0890117121992295.
- Martinez SM, Frongillo EA, Leung C, Ritchie L. No food for thought: food insecurity is related to poor mental health and lower academic performance among students in California's public university system. J Health Psychol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105318783028.
- Payne-Sturges DC, Tjaden A, Caldeira KM, Vincent KB, Arria AM. Student hunger on campus: food insecurity among college students and implications for academic institutions. Am J Health Promot. 2017. https://doi. org/10.1177/0890117117719620.
- Silva MR, Kleinert WL, Sheppard AV, et al. The relationship between food security, housing stability, and school performance among college students in an urban university. J Coll Stud Ret. 2015. https://doi.org/10. 1177/1521025115621918.
- Soldavini J, Berner M, Da Silva J. Rates of and characteristics associated with food insecurity differ among undergraduate and graduate students at a large public university in the Southeast United States. Prev Med Rep. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2019.100836.
- Goldrick-Rab S, Richardson J, Schneider J, Hernandez A, Cady C. Still hungry and homeless in college. Wisconsin Hope Lab. 2018. https://hope4 college.com/reports/. Accessed 10 Apr 2022.
- Goldrick-Rab S. Students are humans first: advancing basic needs security in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. JPSS. 2021. https://doi.org/10. 33009/fsop_jpss129262.
- World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard. 2023. https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 26 Oct 2023.
- Glickman K. Prolonged grief disorder in a diverse college student sample. Front Psychol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.604573.
- Aromataris E, Munn Z. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020. https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-20-01.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. Int J Soc Res Methodol. 2005. https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032 000119616.
- Rethlefsen ML, Kirtley S, Waffenschmidt S, et al. PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA statement for reporting literature searches in systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01542-z.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018. https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1.
- Beller EM, Glasziou PP, Altman DG, et al. PRISMA for abstracts: reporting systematic reviews in journal and conference abstracts. PLoS Med. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001419.
- Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Trico AC, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020. https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-20-12.

 McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review Of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 guideline statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- $\bullet\,\,$ maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

