

PROTOCOL

Open Access



Protocol for a systematic review of the efficacy of fat grafting and platelet-rich plasma for wound healing

Oliver J. Smith¹, Muholan Kanapathy^{1,2*} , Ankur Khajuria³, Max Prokopenko¹, Nadine Hachach-Haram¹, Haroon Mann⁴ and Ash Mosahebi^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: The use of fat grafting as a reconstructive surgical option is becoming much more common. Adipose-derived stem cells found in fat grafts are believed to facilitate wound healing via differentiation into fibroblasts and keratinocytes and the release of pro-healing growth factors. Several small studies have shown a positive effect of fat grafting in healing of wounds of a variety of aetiologies. When fat is combined with autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP), there may be enhanced healing effects. This may be due to the pro-angiogenic and anti-inflammatory effects of PRP. We aim to synthesise the current evidence on combination fat grafting and PRP for wound healing to establish the efficacy of this technique.

Methods/design: We will conduct a comprehensive literature search in the MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL, Science Citation Index, and Google Scholar databases (up to July 2017) to identify studies on fat grafting and PRP for wound healing. All primary studies and systematic reviews of these studies will be included, except case reports and case series with fewer than three patients, to evaluate the outcome of fat grafting and PRP on wound healing either on its own or when compared to other studies. Primary outcome measures are expected to be the proportion of total wounds healed at 12 weeks and the average wound healing time (time for 100% re-epithelialisation). Expected secondary outcome measures are the proportion of wounds achieving 50% wound healing, the type of wound benefitting most from fat grafting, economic evaluation, health-related quality of life, and adverse events. Subgroup analysis will be performed for the proportions of wounds healed based on wound aetiology.

Discussion: This review will provide robust evidence of the efficacy of fat grafting and PRP for wound healing. This is an emerging technique, and this review is expected to guide clinical practice and ongoing research aimed at improving wound care.

Systematic review registration: PROSPERO CRD42016049881

Keywords: Fat grafting, Lipofilling, Platelet rich plasma, Wound healing, Systematic review

Background

Wound management places a significant burden on healthcare, costing the NHS in the UK billions of pounds per annum [1]. Traditional wound management options include regular dressings and skin grafting. Dressing management can often be a slow, time-consuming process

whereas skin grafts are associated with high-cost, donor-site morbidity and occasionally hospital admission.

Fat grafting has become increasingly popular in contouring procedures over the last few decades [2]; however, more recently, there is becoming an emphasis on its regenerative potential. Adipose-derived stem cells or MSCs found in fat are believed to facilitate healing through differentiation into cells which affect wound healing e.g. fibroblasts and keratinocytes [3]. They also release pro-healing growth factors and anti-inflammatory cytokines [4] as well as healing-related peptides such as

* Correspondence: muholan.kanapathy.13@ucl.ac.uk

¹Royal Free Hospital Wound Healing Group, Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

²Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

leptin and adiponectin which together may enhance wound healing [5]. Several small studies have shown that autologous fat grafting may show significant healing qualities in chronically scarred tissue after radiotherapy [6], chronic wounds [7, 8], arterial ulcers [9], pressure ulcers [10], and diabetic foot ulcers [11]. However, the evidence remains limited with no randomised controlled trials reported.

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an autologous blood-derived product enriched in platelets, growth factors, chemokines, and cytokines. It is a reservoir of essential growth factors, including platelet-derived growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, transforming growth factor-beta 1, and insulin-like growth factor which facilitate repair and healing. Platelet-derived biologic mediators have two primary effects on wound healing: recruiting and activating cells that affect wound healing and regulation of angiogenesis [12, 13]. Platelets may also have antimicrobial and immune modulation properties which help to reduce wound infection and facilitate healing [14]. Some studies have found enhanced healing and reduced healing time of split thickness skin grafts when used in combination with PRP [14–17] and improved healing when used as the primary treatment for chronic wounds [18–20]. However, several higher level evidence studies have shown no clear benefit for wound healing over conventional treatments [21–23].

When fat and PRP are used in combination, there may be increased survival of the fat graft which may in turn increase the healing properties of the adipose-derived stem cells. This is believed to be due to the pro-angiogenic effects of PRP which allows early vascularisation of the fat therefore reversing the early ischaemic phase of the graft [24]. Another pro-survival effect may be the release of anti-inflammatory chemokines which help reduce inflammation and swelling which encourage degeneration of the graft. Hypotheses also exist which suggest PRP may provide nutrient support to the fat cells through its plasma component and that the fibrin component allows formation of a scaffold for fat cells [25–27]. Some studies have shown that when used in combination, PRP may increase the maintenance of fat grafts for contouring procedures [28–30]. However, the evidence for combination treatment in wound healing is very limited [31] and the efficacy of the technique is yet to be established.

An extensive search will be undertaken in the MEDLINE (Ovid SP), EMBASE (OvidSP), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Science Citation Index, and Google Scholar databases to identify systematic reviews and primary studies on combination fat and PRP for wound healing. This review will provide robust evidence of the efficacy of fat grafting and PRP for wound healing. This is an emerging technique, and this review is expected to

guide clinical practice and ongoing research aimed at improving wound care.

Methods/design

Objective

This systematic review aims to summarise the best available evidence for the technique of combination fat grafting and PRP in an effort to aid in establishing the efficacy of this technique. This will be achieved by evaluating the use of the technique in the clinical setting by measuring the proportion of wounds healed and the mean wound healing time (time to 100% re-epithelialisation).

General methods

This protocol has been registered with the PROSPERO international prospective register of systematic reviews (registration number CRD42016049881) and will be reported adhering to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement [32]. The PRISMA-P checklist for this study is included as an additional file (Additional file 1). The final review will be reported following the PRISMA statement. In the event of no randomised controlled trial (RCT) being available to be included in the study, this review will be reported according to the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines [33].

Search strategies

We will conduct searches of the MEDLINE (Ovid SP), EMBASE (OvidSP), (CENTRAL), Science Citation Index and Google Scholar databases from 1946 up to July 2017 to identify studies of relevance to this review. Publicly available trial registers (ClinicalTrials.gov and WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform) will be searched for all trials. The reference list of all articles included will be crosschecked for further articles of relevance. The search strategy will use a combination of text word and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms relating to the use of epidermal graft in treating wounds. There will be no restriction by study design or outcomes as the research questions are broad. No date or publication restriction will be applied. The initial search will be conducted in English; if full articles not written in English are identified and deemed to meet the criteria for inclusion in the study, they will be translated into English. A sample search strategy for MEDLINE (OvidSP) is shown, and a similar strategy will be adapted for use in other databases.

1. ([fat graft] OR [fat transfer] OR [adipose graft] OR [adipose stem cell] OR [adipose derived stem cell] OR [adipose tissue transplantation]) AND [wound healing] AND ([platelet-rich-plasma] OR [PRP])

Selection criteria

All human studies related to fat grafting and PRP for treating wounds will be included.

Study design

All primary studies (excluding case reports or case series with fewer than three patients) or systematic reviews of these studies, which evaluate the outcome of fat grafting and PRP for wound healing, will be included.

Type of participants

The participants are all patients (child and adult) with wounds of any size or aetiology treated by a combination of fat grafting and PRP.

Setting

Studies performed in any clinical setting will be included.

Intervention

Any technique of autologous fat harvesting which is then combined with autologous PRP will be included. Traditional techniques of fat harvesting include the Coleman technique using cannula and syringe with or without centrifuging, and the suction assisted liposuction technique. Both techniques may or may not include infiltration of tumescent solution into the donor site. Autologous PRP is harvested by obtaining a peripheral blood sample and centrifuging this using a variety of different commercially available platelet purifying devices to produce PRP. The two substances are mixed together and infiltrated directly into and around the wound bed. The amount injected varies between studies. There is no evidence on a more efficacious standardised fat and PRP technique. Information regarding the harvesting methods for both fat and PRP, the volume of each substance harvested, the volume applied, and the dressing used after grafting will be documented.

Comparator

Studies comparing combination fat grafting and PRP to any other wound management option will be included in this review.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measures will be the proportion of wounds with complete healing at 12 weeks and the mean wound healing time (to complete re-epithelialisation). Secondary outcome measures will be the type of wound benefitting most from fat grafting, economic evaluation, health-related quality of life, and adverse events. Subgroup analysis will be performed for the proportions of wounds healed based on wound aetiology dependent on sufficient data sets (e.g. vascular wounds, diabetic wounds, traumatic wounds, burn wounds).

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria will be case series of less than three cases; studies describing the use of fat grafting and PRP in cases not involving wound healing, studies not reporting time or proportion for 100% wound healing, studies in animal models, and studies describing only the harvest technique without treatment outcome.

Study selection and data management

Study selection will be conducted in a two-stage process. The titles and abstracts will initially be screened by two reviewers, using pre-specified screening criteria, for potential eligibility after excluding duplicate records. Next, studies identified as relevant will undergo full-text review by both reviewers. Any discrepancies between the reviewers will be resolved by discussion or by referral to a third reviewer. Any relevant non-English language articles will be translated where necessary. The search results, including abstracts, full-text articles, and record of the reviewer's decisions, including reasons for exclusion, will be recorded in Endnote X7 (Clarivate Analytics, USA).

Data extraction

The data from all full-text articles accepted for the final analysis will be independently retrieved by two authors using a standardised data extraction form. Any disagreements and differences will be resolved by discussion or referral to a third reviewer. Primary study authors will be contacted if further information is needed or some data are missing. The following data will be extracted:

- Study characteristics (authors, year of publication, country of publication, study design)
- Patient demographics (number of subjects, gender, mean age, comorbidities, number of wounds treated)
- Wound characteristics (wound aetiology, mean wound duration, mean wound size, pre-grafting wound quality)
- Characteristics of the intervention and control group (wound bed preparation, fat grafting technique, PRP harvesting technique, volume harvested, volume applied, number of applications, anaesthesia, use of antibiotics, wound dressing post-grafting, bed rest post-grafting)
- Outcomes (mean wound healing time, proportion of wounds with 100% healing, type of wounds with 100% healing, number of failed grafts, the type of wound benefitting most from fat grafting, economic evaluation, health-related quality of life, and adverse events)
- Length of follow-up
- Cost of fat grafting and PRP combination plus dressings and follow-up

- Health-related quality of life and patient-reported outcome measures in patients managed with fat and PRP
- Complications or adverse events occurring within the study duration
- Statistical analysis model used

Assessment of risk of bias of included studies

Included studies will be critically appraised for methodological quality and risk of bias by two review authors independently. Discrepancies will be resolved through consensus or referral to a third reviewer if necessary. The included studies are expected to all be observational studies, with no RCTs. Should there be any RCTs, they will be assessed according to the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Assessment Tool [34]. The observational cohort studies will be assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions (ACROBAT-NRSI) [35]. This will evaluate the risk of bias due to confounding, selection, measurement, and interpretation. The quality of reporting will be assessed using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist [36].

Data analysis and synthesis

The main outcome measure of the included studies will be the pooled estimate of the proportions of wounds healed at 12 weeks and the mean wound healing time with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Meta-analysis will be performed should a sufficient number of studies with consistent characteristics be found in terms of study design and outcome reporting. We will explore the sources of potential clinical and methodological heterogeneity based on the study design, population, intervention, and comparator characteristics and outcomes. Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed using the chi-square test and quantified with the I^2 statistic. The thresholds for interpretation of I^2 will be in accordance with the definitions presented in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [37]. Narrative synthesis will be performed in the event that the meta-analysis is not appropriate. The narrative synthesis will be grouped by the outcome of interest. As several different fat grafting and PRP harvesting and application techniques are expected to be used, the difference between the techniques will likely be synthesised narratively. We also expect wounds from various aetiologies to be treated. The wounds will be broadly classified into acute (<3 months in duration) and chronic (≥ 3 months in duration), and the difference in outcome will then be synthesised narratively. As the number of studies included in the review is anticipated to be small, the need for a sensitivity analysis is not expected.

Data synthesis will be performed using Review Manager 5.3 provided by The Cochrane Collaboration [37]. Should no RCT be available, a meta-analysis of observational study will be performed using StatsDirect statistical software (StatsDirect statistical software, version 2.8.0; StatsDirect, Altrincham, UK). The quality of evidence will be rated using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach. The GRADE analysis will be performed as per Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and applied for all outcomes. All studies will be ranked based on the level of evidence and graded based on quality of evidence by two authors independently. Data will be presented in a summary of findings table using GRADE-pro for the mean wound healing time and proportion of wounds with 100% healing.

Discussion

This review aims to determine the efficacy of a combination treatment of fat grafting and platelet-rich plasma for wound healing. This will be done by evaluating the overall proportion of wounds completely healed with this technique and the mean wound healing time. Although there is some evidence that when used in isolation these techniques improve wound healing, the efficacy of them used in combination is currently unclear. It is important to evaluate these two emerging autologous treatments for their regenerative potential in order to guide clinical practice and future research. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to evaluate the efficacy of this technique for wound healing.

Limitations

We expect the sensitivity of our search to be limited by the lack of MeSH terms for platelet-rich plasma and a combination of fat grafting and PRP. We have included a wide range of text word combinations to overcome this. We also expect the small number of studies to limit the potential for meta-analysis of studies although we will continue to proceed with a narrative review in this instance.

Additional file

Additional file 1: PRISMA-P checklist. (DOCX 509 kb)

Abbreviations

ACROBAT-NRSI: Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions; CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials; EMBASE: Excerpta Medica Database; GRADE: Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation; MeSH: Medical Subject Headings; MOOSE: Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology; NHS: National Health Service; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols; PRP: Platelet rich plasma; RCT: Randomised controlled

trial; STROBE: Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology; UK: United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

There is no funding received for this study.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

OS and MK designed the search strategies, performed the study analysis, and drafted the protocol. AK and MP conducted the two-stage study selection process. NH, HM, and AM revised this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. OS, MK, NH, HM, and AM jointly contributed to the conception and design of the study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Royal Free Hospital Wound Healing Group, Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK. ²Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK. ³Academic Surgery Foundation Programme, St Mary's Hospital, Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁴Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK.

Received: 21 December 2016 Accepted: 24 May 2017

Published online: 06 June 2017

References

- Posnett J, Franks PJ. The burden of chronic wounds in the UK. *Nurs Times*. 2008;104(3):44–5.
- Coleman SR. Structural fat grafting: more than a permanent filler. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2006;118(Suppl):1085–20S.
- Fromm-Dornieden C, Koenen P. Adipose-derived stem cells in wound healing: recent results in vitro and in vivo. *OA Mol Cell Biol*. 2013;1:8.
- Bene MD, Pozzi MR, Rovati L, Mazzola I, Erba G, Bonomi S. Autologous fat grafting for scleroderma-induced digital ulcers: an effective technique in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir*. 2014;46:242–7.
- Li PB, Jin H, Liu DX, et al. Study on leptin enhancing collagen synthesis in wounded rats. *Zhongguo Ying Yong Sheng Li Xue Za Zhi*. 2011;27:72–4.
- Rigotti G, Marchi A, Gali M, et al. Clinical treatment of radiotherapy tissue damage by lipospiate transplant: a healing process mediated by adipose-derived adult stem cells. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2007;119:1409–22. discussion 1423.
- Klinger M, Caviggioli F, Forcellini D, Villani F. Scars: a review of emerging and currently available therapies. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2009;124:330.
- Caviggioli F, Klinger FM, Vinci V, Cornegliani G, Klinger M. Treatment of chronic posttraumatic leg injury using autologous fat graft. *Case Rep Med*. 2012;2012:648683.
- Marino G, Moraci M, Armenia E, et al. Therapy with autologous adipose-derived regenerative cells for the care of chronic ulcer of lower limbs in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *J Surg Res*. 2013;185:36–44.
- Marangi GF, Pallara T, Cagli B, et al. Treatment of early-stage pressure ulcers by using autologous adipose tissue grafts. *Plast Surg Int*. 2014;2014:817283.
- Stasch T, et al. Debridement and autologous lipotransfer for chronic ulceration of the diabetic foot and lower limb improves wound healing. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2015;136(6):1357–66.
- Frechette JP, Martineau I, Gagnon G. Platelet-rich plasmas: growth factor content and roles in wound healing. *J Dent Res*. 2005;84(5):434–9.
- Lynch MD, Bashir S. Applications of platelet-rich plasma in dermatology: a critical appraisal of the literature. *Journal Dermatolog Tr*. 2016;27(3):285–9.
- Sonker A, Dubey A, Bhavnagar A, et al. Platelet growth factors from allogeneic platelet-rich plasma for clinical improvement in split-thickness skin graft. *Asian J Transfus Sci*. 2015;9(2):155–8.
- Schade VL, Roukis TS. Use of platelet-rich plasma with split-thickness skin grafts in the high-risk patient. *Foot Ankle Spec*. 2008;1:155–9.
- Adly OA, Ahmad AS. Evaluation of topical application of platelet gel in skin grafted burn wounds. *Egypt J Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2011;35:233–7.
- Kakudo N, Kushida S, Minakata T, Suzuki K, Kusumoto K. Platelet-rich plasma promotes epithelialization and angiogenesis in a split thickness skin graft donor site. *Med Mol Morphol*. 2011;44:233–6.
- Knighton DR, Ciresi K, Fiegel VD, et al. Stimulation of repair in chronic, nonhealing, cutaneous ulcers using platelet-derived wound healing formula. *Surg Gynecol Obstet*. 1990;170:56–60.
- Sakata J, Sasaki S, Handa K, et al. A retrospective, longitudinal study to evaluate healing lower extremity wounds in patients with diabetes mellitus and ischemia using standard protocols of care and platelet-rich plasma gel in a Japanese wound care program. *Ostomy Wound Manage*. 2012;58:36–49.
- Wanden-Berghe C, Granell L, Giménez JL, De Dios PJ, Muñoz-Puller P, Cases C, et al. Autologous growth factors in the treatment of chronic wounds. *Rev Enferm*. 2014;37:51–4.
- Krupski WC, Reilly LM, Perez S, et al. A prospective randomized trial of autologous platelet-derived wound healing factors for treatment of chronic nonhealing wounds: a preliminary report. *J Vasc Surg*. 1991;14:526–32. discussion 532–526.
- Stacey MC, Mata SD, Trengove NJ, Mather CA. Randomised double-blind placebo controlled trial of topical autologous platelet lysate in venous ulcer healing. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2000;20:296–301.
- Martinez-Zapata MJ, Marti-Carvajal AJ, Sola I, et al. Autologous platelet-rich plasma for treating chronic wounds. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;10:CD006899.
- Eppley BL, Woodell JE, Higgins J. Platelet quantification and growth factor analysis from platelet-rich plasma: implications for wound healing. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2004;114:1502–8.
- Anitua E, Sanchez M, Nurden AT, et al. New insights into and novel applications for platelet-rich fibrin therapies. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2006;24:227–34.
- Kang YH, Jeon SH, Park JY, et al. Platelet-rich fibrin is a bioscaffold and reservoir of growth factors for tissue regeneration. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2011;17:349–59.
- Wu W, Zhang J, Dong Q, et al. Platelet-rich plasma: a promising cell carrier for micro-invasive articular cartilage repair. *Med Hypotheses*. 2009;72:455–7.
- Cervelli V, Gentile P, Scioli MG, et al. Application of platelet-rich plasma in plastic surgery: clinical and in vitro evaluation. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods*. 2009;15:625–34.
- Gentile P, Orlandi A, Scioli MG, et al. A comparative translational study: the combined use of enhanced stromal vascular fraction and platelet-rich plasma improves fat grafting maintenance in breast reconstruction. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2012;1:341–51.
- Willemsen JC, van der Lei B, Vermeulen KM, Stevens HP. The effects of platelet-rich plasma on recovery time and aesthetic outcome in facial rejuvenation: preliminary retrospective observations. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2014;38(5):1057–63.
- Cervelli V, et al. Regenerative surgery: use of fat grafting combined with platelet-rich plasma for chronic lower-extremity ulcers. *Aesthet Plast Surg*. 2009;33(3):340–5.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev*. 2015;4(1):1–9. Included as an additional file.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. 2000;283(15):2008–12.

34. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
35. Sterne JAC, Higgins JPT, Reeves BC on behalf of the development group for ACROBAT- NRSI. A Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool: for non-randomized studies of interventions (ACROBAT-NRSI), Version 1.0.0, 24 September 2014. Available from <http://www.riskofbias.info>. Accessed 30 Nov 2016.
36. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2008;61(4):344–9.
37. Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from <http://handbook.cochrane.org>. Accessed 30 Nov 2016.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

